Multi-Robot Collaboration



Tin Lun LAM 林天麟

tllam@cuhk.edu.cn freeformrobotics.org



Why are multi-robot systems essential?



(Parallel processing)



(On demand deployment)



(Role substitution)

3Cs of Multi-robot Systems





Amanda Prorok, Matthew Malencia, Luca Carlone, Gaurav S. Sukhatme, Brian M. Sadler, Vijay Kumar, "Beyond Robustness: A Taxonomy of Approaches towards Resilient Multi-Robot Systems," arxiv 2021

Freeform Robotics - Research Focus



Types of Multi-robot Collaboration





- One type of robot is difficult to cope with all uncertain tasks in dynamic environment
- Deploying redundant teams of heterogeneous robots results in high transportation and maintenance costs



Special-purpose robots





Transformable General-purpose Robot





Special-purpose robots



Challenges



1. How do robots achieve physical collaboration?

- Efficient and robust connectors and actuators
- 2. How do robots identify the position of each other?
 - Multi-robot self-contained relative localization
- 3. How do robots achieve environment perception?
 - Source-inconsistent data fusion (hardware, time, viewpoint)
- 4. How do robots collaborate to perform tasks?
 - Multi-robot collaborative planning in dynamic environment









Challenges



1. How do robots achieve physical collaboration?

- Efficient and robust connectors and actuators
- 2. How do robots identify the position of each other?
 - Multi-robot self-contained relative localization
- 3. How do robots achieve environment perception?
 - Source-inconsistent data fusion (hardware, time, viewpoint)
- 4. How do robots collaborate to perform tasks?
 - Multi-robot collaborative planning in dynamic environment









FreeBOT – A Rolling Sphere



Speed 2X

Components: Ferromagnetic spherical shell + built-in mobile cart with magnets;

Features: Achieve arbitrary connection and movement of the entire spherical surface;



Guanqi Liang, Haobo Luo, Ming Li, Huihuan Qian and Tin Lun Lam, "FreeBOT: A Freeform Modular Self-reconfigurable Robot with Arbitrary Connection Point - Design and Implementation," IEEE/RSJ IROS 2020 *[IROS Best Paper Award on Robot Mechanisms and Design]* freeformrobotics.org 10

SnailBot – In the Wild



Components: Ferromagnetic spherical shell + magnet track drive; **Features:** Provides a larger connection area, more stable.



Da Zhao, Haobo Luo, Yuxiao Tu, Chongxi Meng, and Tin Lun Lam, "Snail-Inspired Robotic Swarms: A Hybrid Connector Drives Collective Adaptation in Unstructured Outdoor Environments," Nature Communications, April 2024. freeformrobotics.org

FreeSN – Strut-node MSRR

Components:

- Strut module: Contains two magnetic connectors with lifting mechanisms
- Node module: A spherical ferromagnetic shell

Features:

- 1. Strut-node structure brings good structural stability
- 2. Enable parallel motion to increase the output force





Yuxiao Tu, Guanqi Liang, Tin Lun Lam, "FreeSN: A Freeform Strut-node Structured Modular Self-reconfigurable Robot, " IEEE ICRA 2022



Compatibility among Freeform Robots



- Freeform Robots: FreeBOT, SnailBot, and FreeSN
- Share the same connecting principle Magnetic force
- Share the same connecting terrain Ferromagnetic sphere



Mutually Compatible!

Challenges



1. How do robots achieve physical collaboration?

- Efficient and robust connectors and actuators
- 2. How do robots identify the position of each other?
 - Multi-robot self-contained relative localization
- 3. How do robots achieve environment perception?
 - Source-inconsistent data fusion (hardware, time, viewpoint)
- 4. How do robots collaborate to perform tasks?
 - Multi-robot collaborative planning in dynamic environment









2. Multi-robot Self-contained Relative Localization





Contact-range (0m) Relative Localization



- Challenge: No fixed point connector to identify the location of the connection
- Approach: Magnetic sensor array + GNN-based localization algorithm
- Result: Real-time configuration detection





Yuxiao Tu, Guanqi Liang, Tin Lun Lam, "Graph Convolutional Network based Configuration Detection for Freeform Modular Robot Using Magnetic Sensor Array," IEEE ICRA 2021

FreeSN – Configuration Identification



- Estimates the connection topology and the relative pose of each module by:
 - 1) Magnetic Relative Localization;
 - 2) Magnetic Module Identification;
 - 3) Module Orientation Fusion;
 - 4) System Configuration Fusion.







Yuxiao Tu, Tin Lun Lam, "Configuration Identification for a Freeform Modular Self-reconfigurable Robot - FreeSN," IEEE T-RO 2023. freeformrobotics.org

Short-range (<5m) Relative Localization



- Challenge: Variable configuration brings unstructured features for visual detection and localization.
- Approach: Robust module detection and optimization-based localization that fuses visual measurement and odometry.



Ground truth -Ours AprilTag Bearing 0.4 Robot2 0.2 Robot3 -0.2 Observer -0.4 -01 Roboti 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 16 18 2 22 24 X (m) Trajectory

Bystander view

• **Result**: Position accuracy < 0.06m; Orientation accuracy < 2.23°



Observer camera view

Yuming Liu, Qiu Zheng, Yuxiao Tu, Yuan Gao, Tin Lun Lam, "Visual Relative Localization for Spherical Modular Self-Reconfigurable Robots with the Ability to Adapt to Different Configurations" (Under Review)

Middle-range (<50m) Relative Localization



- Challenge: Lack of theoretical analysis of the variance and bias of the estimators.
- Approach: Dead reckoning + self-carried UWB +weighted semidefinite relaxation solution



 Result: RMSE of the orientation and position are 3.97° and 0.22 meters with affordable hardware.



Yue Wang, Muhan Lin, Xinyi Xie, Yuan Gao, Fuqin Deng, Tin Lun Lam, "Asymptotically efficient estimator for range-based robot relative localization," IEEE/ASME TMECH 2023 freeformrobotics.org

Long-range (>50m) Relative Localization

- Challenges: 1) Large viewpoint difference; 2) High demand on computational resources.
- Approach: Semantic Histogram Descriptor + Graph Matching
- Result: Matching speed > 30x; Matching accuracy > 10%





Xiyue Guo, Junjie Hu, Junfeng Chen, Fuqin Deng, Tin Lun Lam, "Semantic Histogram Based Graph Matching for Real-Time Multi-Robot Global Localization in Large Scale Environment," IEEE RA-L 2021

freeformrobotics.org

NÌRS

Challenges

- **1.** How do robots achieve physical collaboration?
 - Efficient and robust connectors and actuators
- 2. How do robots identify the position of each other?
 - Multi-robot self-contained relative localization
- 3. How do robots achieve environment perception?
 - Source-inconsistent data fusion (hardware, time, viewpoint)
- 4. How do robots collaborate to perform tasks?
 - Multi-robot collaborative planning in dynamic environment











4. Collaborative Planning for MSRR





Transformation





Locomotion



(IROS 2020, RA-L 2022)



Manipulation









(T-RO 2022)

Linear-Time Quasi-Static Stability Detection



- ✓ Main idea: By estimating the critical stable state of the configuration instead of using finite element methods for stability analysis, the computational complexity is significantly reduced.
- Considering the connections between modules, contact, and environmental contact.





Di Wu, Yuxiao Tu, Guanqi Liang, Lijun Zong, Tin Lun Lam, "Linear-Time Quasi-Static Stability Detection for Modular Reconfigurable Robots" IJRR2024

FreeBOT – Kinematic Modeling and Motion Planning



- Approach: Establish a kinematic model, planning, and control methods for high-DoF manipulators formed by connecting multiple modules in series.
- Result: A novel Spherical Rolling Contact Joint (SRC joint) and its kinematic model, motion planning, and control methods.







• Spherical rolling contact joint (left) that is realized by FreeBOT (right)



• Reaching target pose while avoiding obstacles

Lijun Zong, Guanqi Liang, Tin Lun Lam, "Kinematics Modeling and Control of Spherical Rolling Contact Joint and Manipulator," IEEE T-RO 2022. NIRS



Typical approach – Gait Locomotion

- Step 1: Form a fixed connection relationship to mimics the shape of an animal (Snake, Quadruped, Hexapod, etc.)
- Step 2: Generate the gait patterns of the whole body by joints relative motion.



Configuration Design



- > *Motivation*: Design a configuration suitable for the task terrain.
- > *Methods*. Gradient ascent for continuous parameters and modified Bayesian optimization for discrete parameters.



freeformrobotics.org

Configuration Design

Final

26

Connection Planning for Transformation



- Challenge: Finding the optimal solution involving a huge search space.
- Approach: A polynomial-time IM algorithm computes near-optimal solutions by interchanging connection points; 2) an exponential-time TBB algorithm further optimizes the solution of IM by a new branch and bound strategy with stage cost.
- Result: IM and TBB verify their near-optimality and optimality on experimental data.





Haobo Luo, Tin Lun Lam, "Auto-Optimizing Connection Planning Method for Chain-Type Modular Self-Reconfiguration Robots," IEEE T-RO 2022



Flow Locomotion



The locomotion achieved by a series of reconfiguration

The connection relationship is changing all the time



(Source: Georgia Tech, National Geographic)

Flow Locomotion

- Challenge: Flow through continuous rugged obstacles and maintain gravity stability
- Approach: 1) Configurations are designed to grasp the surface of obstacles like vines;
 2) Motion planning keeps each module moving within the supporting polygon.
- **Result**: The vine-like configuration conforms to the rugged surface of various obstacles.



Haobo Luo and Tin Lun Lam, "Adaptive Flow Planning of Modular Spherical Robot Considering Static Gravity Stability, " IEEE RA-L 2022



Freeform Robotics - Research Focus



Types of Multi-robot Collaboration



Multi-robot Collaborative Manipulation



Planning for Multi-robot collaborative mobile transportation (ICRA 2021)



Whole-Body Control (Humanoids 2022)

Robot-to-human Object Handover (CBS 2024, IROS 2022)

Freeform Robotics - Research Focus



Types of Multi-robot Collaboration



Multi-robot Mobile Collaboration



Challenges



1. How do robots achieve physical collaboration?

- Efficient and robust connectors and actuators
- 2. How do robots identify the position of each other?
 - Multi-robot self-contained relative localization
- 3. How do robots achieve environment perception?
 - Source-inconsistent data fusion (hardware, time, viewpoint)
- 4. How do robots collaborate to perform tasks?
 - Multi-robot collaborative planning in dynamic environment









3. Multi-robot Environment Perception



Sensing Enhancement

Sensing quality inconsistent (hardware, time)



Interference Cancellation

Interference of dynamic objects (robots, human)



Data Fusion

Multimodal, viewpoint inconsistent



(RAL 2021, RAL 2023)

Cognition

Inter-class and inner-class diversity





Thank You!



Freeform Robotics