



Support Vector Machines: Applications 64-360 Algorithmic Learning, part 3b

Norman Hendrich

University of Hamburg MIN Faculty, Dept. of Informatics Vogt-Kölln-Str. 30, D-22527 Hamburg hendrich@informatik.uni-hamburg.de

20/06/2012





Outline

SVM Overview Example SVM applications

SVM for clustering SVM for multi-class classification SVM for visual pattern recognition SVM for text classification SVM for function approximation Summary References





SVM

Support Vector Machines

- a.k.a. maximum margin classifiers
- a family of related
- supervised
- learning methods
- for classification and regression
- try to minimize the classification error
- while maximizing the geometric margin





Support Vector Machine

based on the linear classifier

Four new main concepts:

- maximum margin classification
- soft-margin classification for noisy data
- introduce non-linearity via feature maps
- Kernel trick: implicit calculation of feature maps
- use Quadratic Programming for training
- polynomial or gaussian kernels often work well



SVM Overview



SVM

Overall concept and architecture

- ▶ select a feature space \mathcal{H} and a mapping function $\Phi : x \mapsto \Phi(x)$
- select a classification (output) function σ

$$y(x) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i} \vartheta_i \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x_i) \rangle\right)$$

- during training, find the support-vectors $x_1 \dots x_n$
- and weights ϑ which minimize the classification error
- map test input x to $\Phi(x)$
- calculate dot-products $\langle \Phi(x)\Phi(x_i)\rangle$
- \blacktriangleright feed linear combination of the dot-products into σ
- get the classification result





SVM

Maximum margin and support vectors



▶ the (linear) classifier with the largest margin

data points that limit the margin are called the support vectors





Soft-margin classification

- allow some patterns to violate the margin constraints
- find a compromise between large margins
- and the number of violations

Idea:

- introduce slack-variables $\xi = (\xi_i \dots \xi_n), \ \xi_i \ge 0$
- which measure the margin violation (or classification error) on pattern x_i: y(x_i)(w · Φ(x_i) + b) ≥ 1 − ξ_i
- introduce one global parameter C which controls the compromise between large margins and the number of violations





Soft-margin classification

• introduce slack-variables ξ_i

• and global control parameter C

$$\max_{w,b,\xi} \mathcal{P}(w,b,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}w^2 + C\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

subject to:

$$orall i: y(x_i)(w \cdot \Phi(x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \ orall i: \xi_i \geq 0$$

- problem is now very similar to the hard-margin case
- ▶ again, the dual representation is often easier to solve





Nonlinearity through feature maps

General idea:

 introduce a function Φ which maps the input data into a higher dimensional *feature space*

$$\Phi: x \in X \mapsto \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$$

- similar to hidden layers of multi-layer ANNs
- explicit mappings can be expensive in terms of CPU and/or memory (especially in high dimensions)
- "Kernel functions" achieve this mapping implicitly
- often, very good performance



SVM Overview



Common SVM feature maps kernels

- $z_k = ($ polynomial terms of x_k of degree 1 to q)
- $z_k = ($ radial basis functions of $x_k)$
- $z_k = ($ sigmoid functions of $x_k)$
- ٠..
- combinations of the above, e.g.

•
$$K(x,z) = K_1(x,z) + K_2(x,z);$$

•
$$K(x,z) = K_1(x,z) \cdot K_2(x,z);$$

Note:

- feature map Φ only used in inner products
- SVM only needs information on pairwise inner products





SVM

Quadratic polynomial map: scalar product

- Calculating $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle$ is $O(m^2)$
- For comparison, calculate $(x \cdot y + 1)^2$:

$$(x \cdot y + 1)^{2} = ((\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}) + 1)^{2}$$

$$= (\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i})^{2} + 2(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i}) + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i}x_{j}y_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i} + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_{i}y_{i})^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i}x_{j}y_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i} + 1$$

$$= \Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(y)$$

• We can replace $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle$ with $(x \cdot y + 1)^2$, which is O(m)





SVM

Gaussian kernels



- ▶ SVM with Gaussian kernel can classify every input function
- ▶ if the Gaussian kernels are "narrow" enough
- ▶ scale your data (Mahalanobis distance) to match kernel width
- Gaussian-kernel SVM has infinite VC dimension





Applications of SVM

- data clustering
- multi-class classification
- visual pattern (object) recognition
- text classification: string kernels
- DNA sequence classification
- function approximation

► ...

- "streamlined" kernels for each domain
- let's take a look at a few examples...





SVC - support vector clustering Ben-Hur, Horn, Siegelmann, Vapnik (2001)

- map data points to high-dimensional feature space
- using the Gaussian kernel
- look for the smallest sphere that encloses the data
- map back to data space
- to get the set of contours which enclose the cluster(s)
- identifies valleys in the data probability distribution
- use soft-margin SVM to handle outliers



Example SVM applications - SVM for clustering



SVM

SVC: Example data set and results Gaussian kernel $K(x, z) = e^{-q(x-z)^2}$, radius q



Figure 1: Clustering of a data set containing 183 points using SVC with C = 1. Support vectors are designated by small circles, and cluster assignments are represented by different grey scales of the data points. (a): q = 1 (b): q = 20 (c): q = 24 (d): q = 48.





SVM

SVC: Example data set, number of support vectors Gaussian kernel $K(x, z) = e^{-q(x-z)^2}$, radius q



Figure 2: Number of SVs as a function of q for the data of Figure 1. Contour splitting points are denoted by vertical lines.





SVC: Noisy data

- Use soft-margin SVM learning algorithm
- ▶ with control parameter C
- and slack variables $\xi_i \ge 0$
- non-support vectors: inside the cluster
- support vectors: on the cluster boundary
- bounded support vectors: outside the boundary (violation)
- ▶ number of bounded support vectors is $n_{\rm bsv} < 1/C$
- fraction of outliers: p = 1/NC



Example SVM applications - SVM for clustering

MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

SVC: Noisy data and bounded support vectors Soft-margin SVM learning with control parameter *C*



Figure 3: Clustering with and without BSVs. The inner cluster is composed of 50 points generated from a Gaussian distribution. The two concentric rings contain 150/300 points, generated from a uniform angular distribution and radial Gaussian distribution. (a) The rings cannot be distinguished when C = 1. Shown here is q = 3.5, the lowest q value that leads to separation of the inner cluster. (b) Outliers allow easy clustering. The parameters are p = 0.3 and q = 1.0.





SVM

SVC: Noisy data and bounded support vectors Soft-margin SVM learning with control parameter *C*



Figure 4: Clusters with overlapping density functions require the introduction of BSVs.

- ▶ Remember: larger *C* implies larger margin
- at the cost of more bounded support vectors (outliers)

• with
$$y_i \langle w_i, x_i \rangle \ge 1 - \xi_i$$





SVC: Strongly overlapping clusters



Figure 5: In the case of significant overlap between clusters the algorithm identifies clusters according to dense cores, or maxima of the underlying probability distribution.

cluster boundaries limit only the "core" of the distributions

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle$





Multi-class classification

- many practical classification problems involve more than just two classes!
- for example, clustering (see above), object recognition, handwritten digit and character recognition, audio and natural speach recognition, etc.
- but standard SVM handles only exactly two classes
- "hard-coded" in the SVM algorithm
- What to do?



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

Example: multi-class classification



exmulticlassall from SVM-KM toolbox (3 classes)

demo





One versus the rest classification

To get an *M*-class classifier:

• construct a set of M binary classifiers f^1, \ldots, f^M

 $y^j(x) = f^j(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(g^j(x))$

- each trained to separate one class from the rest
- combine them according to the maximal individual output before applying the sgn-function

$$rg\max_{j=1,...,M} g^j(x), \quad ext{where} \quad g^j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_i artheta^j_i k(x,x_i) + b^j
ight).$$

the winner-takes-all approach





SVM

One versus the rest: winner takes all

- the above algorithm looks for $\arg \max g^j(x)$
- ▶ the *M* different classifiers have been trained
- on the same training data
- but with different binary classification problems
- unclear whether the $g^j(x)$ are on comparable scales
- ▶ a problem, when several (or none) classifiers claim the pattern
- try to balance/scale the $g^j(x)$
- all classifiers trained on very unsymmetrical problems
- many more negative than positive patterns
 - ▶ (e.g. digit-7 vs. all handwritten characters and digits)



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

One versus the rest: reject decisions

- The values of g^j(x) can be used for reject decisions in the classification of x
- consider the difference between the two largest g^j(x) as a measure of confidence
- \blacktriangleright if the measure falls short of a threshold $\theta,$ the classifier rejects the pattern
- can often lower the error-rate on other patterns
- can forward un-classified patterns to human experts





Pairwise classification

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

- train a classifier for each possible pair of classes
- for *M* classes, requires M(M-1)/2 binary classifiers
 - ▶ digit-0-vs-digit-1, digit-0-vs-digit-2, ..., digit-8-vs-digit-9
- use a voting scheme for the final decision
- (many) more classifiers than one-vs-the-rest for M > 3
- and probably, longer training times
- but each individual pairwise classifier is (usually) much simpler than each one-vs-the-rest classifier



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Pairwise classification: tradeoff

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

- ▶ requires (M-1)M/2 classifiers vs M one-vs-the-rest
- each individual classifier much simpler
- smaller training sets (e.g. digit-7 vs. digit-8)
 - ▶ for super-linear learning complexity like O(n³), the shorter training times can outweigh the higher number of classifiers
- usually, fewer support vectors
 - training sets are smaller
 - classes have less overlap





Pairwise classification: tradeoff

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

- ▶ requires (M-1)M/2 classifiers vs M one-vs-the-rest
- but fewer support vectors per classifier
- if M is large, will be slower than M one-vs-the-rest
- example: digit-recognition task and the following scenario:
 - after evaluating the first few classifiers,
 - digit 7 and digit 8 seem unlikely ("lost" in the first rounds)
 - rather pointless to run the digit-7-vs-digit-8 classifier
- embed the pairwise classifiers into a directed acyclic graph
 - each classification run corresponds to a graph traversal
 - much faster than running all pairwise classifiers





SVM

Error-correcting output coding

- train a number of L binary classifiers f^1, \ldots, f^L
- on subproblems involving subsets of the M classes
 - e.g. separate digits 0..4 from 5..9, 0..2 from 3..9, etc.
- If the set of binary classifiers is chosen correctly,
- ► their responses {±1}^L determine the output class of a test pattern
- ▶ e.g., log₂(*M*) classifiers on binary-encoding ...
- use error-correcting codes to improve robustness against individual mis-classifications
 - note: newest schemes also use the margins of the individual classifiers for decoding





Error-correcting output coding

- example: Hamming (7,4) code
 - linear error-correcting block-code
 - 4 databits, 3 parity bits
 - detects and corrects 1-bit errors
 - generator matrix G and decoding matrix H

more efficient codes

- BCD (Bose, Chaudhuri, Hocquenghem)
 e.g. BCH(15,7,5) corrects 2-bit errors
- RS (Reed-Solomon)
- ► ...
- large block-sizes required for low overhead

< @ > < = > < = > のへで

 $\mathbf{G}' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{H'} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$





Multi-class objective functions LWK p.213

- re-design the SVM algorithm to directly handle multi-classes
- ▶ $y_i \in \{1, ..., M\}$ the multi-class label of pattern x_i
- ▶ $m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$

$$\text{minimize}_{w_r \in \mathcal{H}, \xi_i^r \in \mathbb{R}^m, b_r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^M ||w_r||^2 + \frac{C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{r \neq y_i} \xi_i^r$$

subject to $\langle w_{y_i}, x_i \rangle + b_{y_i} \ge \langle w_r, x_i \rangle + b_r + 2 - \xi_i^r$, with $\xi_i^r \ge 0$.

- optimization problem has to deal with all SVMs at once
- large number of support vectors
- results comparable with one-vs-the-rest approach





Summary: multi-class classification LWK p.214

- Basic SVM algorithm only supports binary classifications
- Several options for *M*-class classification
- 1 M one-versus-the-rest classifiers
- 2 M(M-1)/2 pairwise binary classifiers
- 3 suitably chosen subset classifiers (at least, $\log_2 M$), plus error-correcting codes for robustness
- 4 redesigned SVM with multi-class objective function
- no approach outperforms all others
- often, one-vs-the-rest produces acceptable results



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

SVM for visual pattern recognition

- one very popular application of SVMs
- can work on raw pixels
- or handcrafted feature maps

- MNIST handwritten digit recognition
- NORB object recognition
- histogram based classification



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition



MNIST handwritten characters data set

- set of handwritten digits
- based on NIST database 1 and -3 (b&w)
- 20x20 pixel grayscale images (interpolated from 28x28 b&w)
- used as a benchmark for (multi-class) classifiers
- training set with 60.000 patterns
- ▶ test set with 10.000+ patterns
- http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/
- current best classifier achieves 0.38% error



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

MNIST data set: example '4'



 $http://www.cvl.isy.liu.se/ImageDB/images/external_images/MNIST_digits/mnist_train4.jpg$





Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MNIST benchmark

- typical published results on raw MNIST
 - ▶ 0% percent errors on training set
 - about 3% errors on the test set
- apparently, training and test set don't match perfectly
- some test patterns quite different from training patterns
- difficult to achieve very good error rates
- several approaches based on extra training patterns




SVM

MNIST results overview

Table 14.1 Test error rates of various learning models on the MNIST dataset. Many results obtained with deslanted images or hand-designed feature extractors were left out. NN: fully connected neural network; ConvNN: convolutional neural network; k-NN: k-nearest neighbors.

Classifier Err		Reference			
Knowledge-free methods					
2-layer NN, 800 hidden units	1.60%	Simard et al. 2003			
3-layer NN, 500+300 units	1.53%	Hinton et al. 2006			
SVM, Gaussian kernel	1.40%	DeCoste and Schölkopf 2002			
EVM, Gaussian kernel	1.03%	Haffner 2002			
DBM + final backpropagation	0.95%	Hinton et al. 2006			
Convolutional networks					
ConvNN LeNet-5	0.80%	Ranzato et al. 2007			
ConvNN LeNet-6	0.70%	Ranzato et al. 2007			
ConvNN LeNet-6 + unsupervised learning	0.60%	Ranzato et al. 2007			
Training set augmented with affine dist	tortions				
2-layer NN, 800 hidden units	1.10%	Simard et al. 2003			
Virtual SVM, degree 9 polynomial kernel	0.80%	DeCoste and Schölkopf 2002			
ConvNN,	0.60%	Simard et al. 2003			
Training set augmented with elastic dis	tortions				
2-layer NN, 800 hidden units	0.70%	Simard et al. 2003			
SVM Gaussian Kernel + online training	0.67%	this book, chapter 13			
Shape context features $+$ elastic k -NN	0.63%	Belongie et al. 2002			
ConvNN	0.40%	Simard et al. 2003			
ConvNN LeNet-6	0.49%	Ranzato et al. 2007			
ConvNN LeNet-6 + unsupervised learning	0.39%	Ranzato et al. 2007			





MNIST experiments with SVMs

- G. Loosli, L. Bottou, S. Canu, Training Invariant SVMs Using Selective Sampling, in Large-Scale Kernel Machines, 2007
- ▶ an approach to improve the classification error rate
- by increasing the training set
- with automatically synthesized patterns
- derived from the original training patterns
- ▶ 100 random deformations of each original image
- 6 million training images...





Virtual training set

- synthesize new training patterns
- by applying deformations on each original pattern
 - affine transformations (sub-pixel accuracy) translations, rotations, scaling
 - deformation-fields (elastic transformation)
 - thickening
 - ▶ ...

Goal:

- a transformation invariant classifier
- more robust to slight variations in the test patterns
- but handling transformations can also increase the test set error
- of course, much higher training effort and time



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

MNIST modified training patterns



Figure 13.4 This figure shows 16 variations of a digit with all the transformations cited here.





Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MNIST effect of transformations



Figure 13.5 Effects of transformations on the performance. This graph is obtained on a validation set of 10,000 points, trained on 5000 points and 10 transformations for each (55,000 training points in total) with an RBF kernel with bandwidth $\gamma = 0.006$. The best configuration is elastic deformation without thickening, for $\eta = 2$ and one-pixel translations, which gives 1.28%. Note that $\eta = 0$ is equivalent to ne elastic deformation. The baseline result for the validation set is thus 2.52%.

LSKM p.315, α measures elastic deformation, α = 0 for no deformation.





LASVM training algorithm

- due to the problem size, training is done using an iterative algorithm, one pattern a time.
- several choices to select the next training pattern:
 - random selection: picks a random unseen training example
 - gradient selection: pick the most poorly classified example (smallest value of y_kf(x_k) among 50 randomly selected unseen training examples)
 - ► active selection: pick the training example that is closest to the decision boundary (smallest value of |f(x_k)|) among 50 randomly selected unseen training examples)
 - autoactive selection: randomly sample at most 100 unseen training examples, but stop as soon as 5 fall inside the margins (will become support vectors). Pick the one closest to the decision boundary.





Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MNIST benchmark results



<ロ > < 団 > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > の Q ()





SVM

MNIST benchmark results explanation

Figure 13.6 This figure compares the error rates (left), the numbers of support vectors (center), and the training times (right) of different LASVM runs. The first bar of each graph corresponds to training a regular SVM on the original 60,000 MNIST examples (NT: no transformation). The other three bars were obtained using 100 random deformations of each MNIST example, that is, 6 millions points. The second columns reports results for random selection (RS), the third for active selection (AS), and the last for auto-active selection (AAS). The autoactive run gives the best compromise.





MNIST evolution of training and test errors



Figure 13.8 This figure shows the evolution of the training error on the 60,000 original points and the test error during training. The results are shown for class 2 against the others. We observe that training on deformed examples does not affect the performance on orginal examples but increases the performance on unseen data.





SVM

MNIST SVM experiment results G. Loosli, L. Bottou, S. Canu, Training Invariant SVMs Using Selective Sampling

Table 13.2Summary of our final experiment.

Number of binary classifiers	10
Number of examples for each binary classifier	8,100,000
Thickening transformation	no
Additional translations	1 pixel
RBF kernel bandwidth (γ)	0.006
Example selection criterion	auto-active
Finishing step	every $600,000$ examples
Full training time	8 days
Test set error	$\mathbf{0.67\%}$





NORB

- Natural images of 3D-objects, 96x96 pixels
- 50 different toys in 5 categories
- 25 objects for training, 25 for testing
- each object captured in stereo from 162 viewpoints (9 elevations, 18 azimuths)
- objects in front of uniform background
- or in front of cluttered background (or missing object)
- http://www.cs.nyu.edu/~ylclab/data/norb-v1.0/





SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognitio

NORB normalized-uniform training set



Figure 14.6 The 25 testing objects in the normalized-uniform NORB set. The testing objects are unseen by the trained system.

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box$





SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

NORB jittered-cluttered training set



Figure 14.7 Some of the 291,600 examples from the *jittered-cluttered* training set (left camera images). Each column shows images from one category. A 6-th background category is added





NORB data set

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

- recognition basically can only rely on the shape of the object
- all other typical clues eliminated or unusable
- different orientations (viewing angles)
- different lighting conditions
- no color information (grayscale only)
- no object texture
- different backgrounds (cluttered set)
- no hidden regularities





SVM

Error rates: normalized uniform set

Table 14.2 Testing error rates and training/testing timings on the normalized-uniform dataset of different methods. The timing is normalized to hypothetical 1GHz single CPU. The convolutional nets have multiple results with different training passes due to their iterative training procedure.

	\mathbf{SVM}	Convolutional network			Hybrid	
Test error	11.6%	10.4%	6.0%	6.2%	5.	.9%
Train time (min×GHz)	480	64	448	3200	50+	
Test time per sample $(\min \times GHz)$	0.95		0.03		0.	04 +
Fraction of SV	28%				2	8%
Parameters	$\sigma = 2000$	Step size			dim=80	
	C=40	$2 \times 10^{-5} - 2 \times 10^{-7}$			$\sigma = 5$	C = 40

- five binary SVMs, one for each class (Gaussian kernel)
- trained on the raw pixel images $(d = 96 \cdot 96 \cdot 2 = 18432)$
- convolutional network uses handcrafted feature map
- hybrid system trains SVMs on those features





SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Feature functions for the NORB set



Figure 14.5 The architecture of the convolutional net used for the NORB experiments. The input is an image pair, the system extracts 8 feature maps of size 92×92 , 8 maps of 23×23 , 24 maps of 18×18 , 24 maps of 6×6 , and a 100-dimensional feature vector. The feature vector is then transformed into a 5-dimensional vector in the last layer to compute the distance with target vectors.





SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Some feature functions for the NORB set



Figure 14.8 The learned convolution kernels of the C3 layer. The columns correspond to the 24 feature maps output by C3, and the rows correspond to the 8 feature maps output by the S2 layer. Each feature map draws from 2 monocular maps and 2 binocular maps of S2. Ninety-six convolution kernels are used in total.





Error rates: jittered cluttered set

Table 14.3 Testing error rates and training/testing timings on the *jittered-cluttered* dataset of different methods. The timing is normalized to hypothetical 1GHz single CPU. The convolutional nets have multiple results with different training passes due to their iterative training procedure.

	SVM	Convolutional network			Hybrid	
Test error	43.3%	16.38%	7.5%	7.2%	5.9	%
Train time (min×GHz)	10,944	420	420 2100 5880		330 +	
Test time per sample (min×GHz)	2.2		0.04		0.06	6+
Fraction of SV	5%				2%	6
Parameters	$\sigma = 10^4$	Step size			$\dim = 100$	
	C = 40	$2 \times 10^{-5} - 1 \times 10^{-6}$			$\sigma = 5$	C=1

- again, SVM trained on raw pixels
- convolutional network uses handcrafted feature maps
- hybrid system trains SVM on those feature maps





SVM

Error rates: SVM training and setup

- basic SVM fails with 43% error-rate...
- six one-vs-the-rest binary SVMs (one per catogory)
- training samples are raw 108×108 pixel images
 - again, use a virtual training set
 - ± 3 pixel translations
 - scaling from 80% to 110%
 - rotations ±5°
 - changed brightness ± 20 and contrast
 - a total of 291.600 images
- overall, a 23 328-dimensional input vector
- only the Gaussian width σ as a free parameter





SVM

Poor performance of SVM on raw pixels?

- Gaussian kernel basically computers matching score (based on Euclidean distance) between training templates and the test pattern
- very sensitive to variations in registration, pose, illumination
- most of the pixels in NORB are background clutter
- hence, template matching dominated by background irregularities
- a general weakness of standard kernel methods: their inability to select relevant input features
- feature maps must be hand-crafted by experts





SVM

Summary: visual pattern recognition

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

- SVM can be trained on and applied to raw pixel data
- use virtual training set for better generalization
- but no performance guarantees
- good results on MNIST
- but total breakdown on NORB
- must use appropriate feature maps
- good results with hybrid architecture





Text classification LSKM p0.41

- another high dimensional problem...
- e.g. Reuters RCV1 text corpus
 - ▶ 810.000 news stories from 1996/1997
 - partitioned and indexed in 135 categories
 - http://trec.nist.gov/data/reuters/reuters.html
- represent word frequencies, e.g. bag of words
- or represent substring correlations
- train a SVM on the corpus
- classify the given input texts





SVM

Bag of words representation LSKM 4.2.1 LWK 13.2

Sparse vector kernel

- map the given text into a sparse vector
- each component corresponds to a word
- ▶ a component is set to one when the word occurs
- dot products between such vectors are fast
- but ignores the ordering of the words
- no vicinity information (e.g. words in one sentence)
- only detects exact matches (e.g. mismatch on mathces)





String kernel example

efficient kernel that computes the dot product

- ▶ in the feature space spanned by *all* substrings of documents
- computional complexity is linear in the document length and the length of the substring
- allows to classify texts on similarity of substrings
- ▶ the more substrings match, the higher the output value
- use for text classification, DNA sequence analysis, ...





String kernel: definitions

- ▶ a finite alphabet Σ , so Σ^n the set of all strings of length *n*
- $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^n$ the set of all finite strings
- ▶ length of a string $s \in \Sigma^*$ is |s|
- string elements are $s(1) \dots s(|s|)$
- s t is the string concatenation of s and t

subsequences *u* of strings:

- ▶ index sequence $i := (i_1, \dots, i_{|u|})$ with $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{|u|} \le |s|$
- define $u := s(i) := s(i_1) \dots s(i_{|u|})$
- ► $I(i) := i_{|u|} i_1 + 1$ the length of the subsequence in s



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



String kernel: feature space

- feature space $\mathcal{H} := \mathrm{I\!R}^{(\Sigma^n)}$ built from strings of length *n*
- one dimension (coordinate) for each element of Σ^n
- feature map

$$[\Phi_n(s)]_u := \sum_{i:s(i)=u} \lambda^{I(i)}$$

- with decay parameter λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$
- ► the larger the length of the subsequence in s, the smaller its contribution to [Φ_n(s)]_u.
- sum over all subsequences of s which equal u





String kernel: the actual kernel

- \blacktriangleright consider the dimension of ${\cal H}$ for the string asd
- $[\Phi_n(Nasdaq)]_{asd} = \lambda^3$ (one exact match of length 3)
- ► $[\Phi_n(\text{lass das})]_{\text{asd}} = 2\lambda^5$ (two matches of length 5: $\square as \square \square$
- kernel corresponding to the map $\Phi(n)$ is:

$$k_n(s,t) = \sum_{u \in \Sigma^n} [\Phi_n(s)]_u [\Phi_n(t)]_u = \sum_{u \in \Sigma^n} \sum_{(i,j):s(i)=t(j)=u} \lambda^{l(i)} \lambda^{l(j)}$$

• normalize: use $k(s,t)/\sqrt{k(s,s)k(t,t)}$





DNA sequence classification LWK table 13.2 p.417

- DNA sequence contains *coding sequences* which encode proteins, but also untranslated sequences
- find the start points of proteins (TIS: translation initiation sites)
- out of $\{A, C, G, T\}$, typically an ATG triplet
- certain local correlations are typical
- ▶ match_{p+j}(x, x') is 1 for matching nucleotides at position p + j, 0 otherwise
- ► construct kernel that rewards nearby matches $\min_{p}(x, x') = \left(\sum_{j=-l}^{+l} \nu_{j} \operatorname{match}_{p+j}(x, x')\right)^{d_{1}}$ $k(x, x') = \left(\sum_{p=1}^{l} \min_{p}(x, x')\right)^{d_{2}}$





SVM

WD kernel with shifts



Figure 4.2 Given two sequences \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 of equal length, the WD kernel with shifts consists of a weighted sum to which each match in the sequences makes a contribution $\gamma_{k,p}$ depending on its length k and relative position p, where long matches at the same position contribute most significantly. The γ 's can be computed from the β 's and δ 's in (4.4). The spectrum kernel is based on a similar idea, but it only considers substrings of a fixed length and the contributions are independent of the relative positions of the matches to each other.





SVM

SVM-based function approximation

especially, for high-dimensional functions?

basic idea is very similar to classification:

- estimate linear functions $f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle + b$
- ▶ based on $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathrm{I\!R}$
- find a suitable cost-function, then use SVM algorithm to find the approximating linear function
- use soft-margin algorithm to tune the approximation
- use feature-maps to approximate non-linear functions





ϵ-insensitive loss function Vapnik 1995

- need a suitable cost-function
- define the following *\epsilon*-insensitive loss function:

$$|y - f(x)|_{\epsilon} = \max\{0, |y - f(x)| - \epsilon\}$$

- threshold $\epsilon \ge 0$ is chosen a-priori
- small ϵ implies high approximation accuracy
- no penalty, when error below some threshold
- similar to classification loss-function: no penalty for correctly-estimated training patterns



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

The ϵ -tube around the ϵ -insensitive loss function



- geometrical interpretation: allow a tube
- \blacktriangleright of width ϵ around the given function values





$\epsilon\text{-}\mathsf{SVR}$ objective function

again, rewrite as (soft-margin) optimization problem:

$$\operatorname{minimize}_{w_r \in \mathcal{H}, \xi^{(*)} \in \mathbb{R}^m, b \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + \frac{C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi^*)$$

subject to

$$(\langle x, x_i \rangle + b) - y_i \leq \epsilon + \xi_i$$

►
$$y_i - (\langle w, x_i \rangle + b) \le \epsilon + \xi$$

► $\xi_i^{(*)} \ge 0$

• where (*) means both the variables with and without asterisks.





Example SVW applications - SVW for function approximatic

$\epsilon\text{-}\mathsf{SVR}$ dual problem

introduce two sets of Lagrange multipliers α_i^(*) and η_i^(*)
and minimize

$$L := \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + \frac{C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi^*) - \sum_{i=1}^m (\eta_i \xi_i + \eta_i^* \xi_i^*) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\epsilon + \xi_i + y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^* (\epsilon + \xi_i^* + y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b)$$

• subject to
$$\alpha_i^{(*)}, \eta_i^{(*)} \ge 0.$$





 ${\displaystyle SV}$ expansion For the whole details, see LWK 9.2 (p. 254ff)

solution of the optimization problem results in the SV expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i) \langle x_i, x \rangle + b$$

- w can be written as a linear combination
- of a subset of the training patterns x_i
- algorithm desribed in terms of dot products between the data
- when evaluating f(x), we need not to compute w explicitly





Example: function approximation



linear, polynomial, RBF, B-Spline kernels (S. R. Gunn, 1998)


MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation

Example: function approximation



- exreg1dls from SVM-KM toolbox (gaussian basis function)
- \blacktriangleright number of support-vectors depends on chosen ϵ



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation

MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example: function approximation



- exreg1dls from SVM-KM toolbox (4th-order polynom)
- fails to approximate the target function sin(exp(x))



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation

Example: function approximation



- exreg1dls from SVM-KM toolbox (radial basis function)
- very many support-vectors, but approximation works

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box$





Summary

- Support Vector Machine
 - maximum-margin linear classifier
 - concept of support vectors
 - soft-margin classifier
 - feature-maps and kernels to handle non-linearity
 - training via Quadratic Programming algorithms
- (multi-class) classification and clustering
- pattern and object recognition
- regression and function approximation
- algorithms and complexity estimates
- an active research topic





References: web resources

see full references in part one (AL 3a)

- L. Bottou, O. Chapelle, D. DeCoste, J. Weste (Eds), Large-Scale Kernel Machines, MIT Press, 2007
- C. J. C. Burges, A Tutorial on Support Vector Machines for Pattern Recognition, Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 2, 121–167 (1998)
- A. Ben-Hur, D. Horn, H. T. Siegelmann, V. Vapnik, Support Vector Clustering, Journal of Machine Learning Research 2, 125–137 (2001)
- S. R. Gunn, Support Vector Machines for Classification and Regression, Technical report, University of Southampton (1998)





Software: libsvm

- C.-C. Chang & C.-J. Lin, *libsvm* http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/ Bindings to C/C++, Java, ...
- Alain Rakotomamonjy, Stephane Canu, SVM and Kernel Methods Matlab Toolbox, http://asi.insarouen.fr/enseignants/~arakotom/toolbox/index.html
- W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes – The Art of Scientific Computing, Cambridge University Press, 2007 (all algorithms on CD-ROM)
- ▶ several other software packages (Matlab, C/C++, ...)





Example datasets

- the libsvm page links to several training datasets http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/
- MNIST handwritten digits http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/
- NORB object recognition datasets http://www.cs.nyu.edu/~ylclab/data/norb-v1.0/