



SVM

Support Vector Machines VL Algorithmisches Lernen, Teil 3b

Norman Hendrich & Jianwei Zhang

University of Hamburg MIN Faculty, Dept. of Informatics Vogt-Kölln-Str. 30, D-22527 Hamburg hendrich@informatik.uni-hamburg.de

11/06/2008





Outline

Overview (Review) Example SVM applications SVM for clustering SVM for multi-class classification SVM for visual pattern recognition SVM for text classification SVM for function approximation Algorithms and complexity Summary References





Support Vector Machines

- a.k.a. maximum margin classifiers
- a family of related
- supervised
- learning methods
- for classification and regression
- try to minimize the classification error
- while maximizing the geometric margin





SVM

Support Vector Machine

based on the linear classifier

Four new main concepts:

- Maximum margin classification
- Soft-margin classification for noisy data
- Introduce non-linearity via feature maps
- ▶ Kernel trick: implicit calculation of feature maps
- use Quadratic Programming for training
- polynomial or gaussian kernels often work well





Overall concept and architecture

- ▶ select a feature space \mathcal{H} and a mapping function $\Phi : x \mapsto \Phi(x)$
- \blacktriangleright select a classification (output) function σ

 $y(x) = \sigma(\sum_i \vartheta_i \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x_i) \rangle)$

- during training, find the support-vectors $x_1 \dots x_n$
- \blacktriangleright and weights ϑ which minimize the classification error
- map test input x to $\Phi(x)$
- calculate dot-products $\langle \Phi(x)\Phi(x_i)\rangle$
- \blacktriangleright feed linear combination of the dot-products into σ
- get the classification result





SVM

Maximum margin and support vectors



the (linear) classifier with the largest margin

data points that limit the margin are called the support vectors

イロト イヨト イヨト イモト 少へで





Soft-margin classification

- allow some patterns to violate the margin constraints
- find a compromise between large margins
- and the number of violations

Idea:

- introduce slack-variables $\xi = (\xi_i \dots \xi_n), \ \xi_i \ge 0$
- which measure the margin violation (or classification error) on pattern x_i: y(x_i)(w · Φ(x_i) + b) ≥ 1 − ξ_i
- introduce one global parameter C which controls the compromise between large margins and the number of violations





Soft-margin classification

• introduce slack-variables ξ_i

 \blacktriangleright and global control parameter C

$$\max_{w,b,\xi} \mathcal{P}(w,b,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}w^2 + C\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

subject to:

$$orall i: y(x_i)(w \cdot \Phi(x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \ orall i: \xi_i \geq 0$$

- problem is now very similar to the hard-margin case
- ▶ again, the dual representation is often easier to solve





Nonlinearity through feature maps

General idea:

 introduce a function Φ which maps the input data into a higher dimensional *feature space*

$$\Phi: x \in X \mapsto \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$$

- similar to hidden layers of multi-layer ANNs
- explicit mappings can be expensive in terms of CPU and/or memory (especially in high dimensions)
- "Kernel functions" achieve this mapping implicitly
- often, very good performance



Overview (Review)



Common SVM feature maps kernels

- z_k = (polynomial terms of x_k of degree 1 to q)
 z_k = (radial basis functions of x_k)
 z_k = (sigmoid functions of x_k)
 ...
- combinations of the above, e.g.

•
$$K(x,z) = K_1(x,z) + K_2(x,z);$$

•
$$K(x,z) = K_1(x,z) \cdot K_2(x,z);$$

Note:

- feature map Φ only used in inner products
- ▶ for training, information on pairwise inner products is sufficient





SVM

Quadratic polynomial map: scalar product

- Calculating $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle$ is $O(m^2)$
- For comparison, calculate $(x \cdot y + 1)^2$:

$$(x \cdot y + 1)^{2} = ((\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}) + 1)^{2}$$

$$= (\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i})^{2} + 2(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i}) + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i}x_{j}y_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i} + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_{i}y_{i})^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i}x_{j}y_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}y_{i} + 1$$

$$= \Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(y)$$

• We can replace $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle$ with $(x \cdot y + 1)^2$, which is O(m)





References: web resources

see full references in part one (AL 3a)

- L. Bottou, O. Chapelle, D. DeCoste, J. Weste (Eds), Large-Scale Kernel Machines, MIT Press, 2007
- C. J. C. Burges, A Tutorial on Support Vector Machines for Pattern Recognition, Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 2, 121–167 (1998)
- A. Ben-Hur, D. Horn, H. T. Siegelmann, V. Vapnik, Support Vector Clustering, Journal of Machine Learning Research 2, 125–137 (2001)





Applications of SVM

- data clustering
- multi-class classification
- visual pattern (object) recognition
- text classification: string kernels
- DNA sequence classification
- function approximation

...

- ▶ of course, streamlined kernels for each domain
- let's take a look at a few examples...





SVC - support vector clustering Ben-Hur, Horn, Siegelmann, Vapnik (2001)

- map data points to high-dimensional feature space
- using the Gaussian kernel
- look for the smallest sphere that encloses the data
- map back to data space
- ▶ to get the set of contours which enclose the cluster(s)
- identifies valleys in the data probability distribution
- use soft-margin SVM to handle outliers



Example SVM applications - SVM for clustering



SVM

SVC: Example data set and results Gaussian kernel $K(x, z) = e^{-q(x-z)^2}$, radius q



Figure 1: Clustering of a data set containing 183 points using SVC with C = 1. Support vectors are designated by small circles, and cluster assignments are represented by different grey scales of the data points. (a): q = 1 (b): q = 20 (c): q = 24 (d): q = 48.





SVM

SVC: Example data set, number of support vectors Gaussian kernel $K(x, z) = e^{-q(x-z)^2}$, radius q



Figure 2: Number of SVs as a function of q for the data of Figure 1. Contour splitting points are denoted by vertical lines.

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box$





SVC: Noisy data

- Use soft-margin SVM learning algorithm
- ▶ with control parameter C
- and slack variables $\xi_i \ge 0$
- non-support vectors: inside the cluster
- support vectors: on the cluster boundary
- bounded support vectors: outside the boundary (violation)
- ▶ number of bounded support vectors is $n_{\rm bsv} < 1/C$
- fraction of outliers: p = 1/NC



Example SVM applications - SVM for clustering

MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

SVC: Noisy data and bounded support vectors Soft-margin SVM learning with control parameter *C*



Figure 3: Clustering with and without BSVs. The inner cluster is composed of 50 points generated from a Gaussian distribution. The two concentric rings contain 150/300 points, generated from a uniform angular distribution and radial Gaussian distribution. (a) The rings cannot be distinguished when C = 1. Shown here is q = 3.5, the lowest q value that leads to separation of the inner cluster. (b) Outliers allow easy clustering. The parameters are p = 0.3 and q = 1.0.

・ロト (四 ト (三 ト (三 ト ぐ ① ト





SVM

SVC: Noisy data and bounded support vectors Soft-margin SVM learning with control parameter *C*



Figure 4: Clusters with overlapping density functions require the introduction of BSVs.

- ▶ Remember: larger *C* implies larger margin
- at the cost of more bounded support vectors

• with
$$y_i \langle w_i, x_i \rangle \geq 1 - \xi_i$$

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle$





SVC: Strongly overlapping clusters



Figure 5: In the case of significant overlap between clusters the algorithm identifies clusters according to dense cores, or maxima of the underlying probability distribution.





SVC: Comparison with classical clustering



Figure 6: Ripley's crab data displayed on a plot of their 2nd and 3rd principal components: (a) Topographic map of P_{svc}(**x**) and SVC cluster assignments. Cluster core boundaries are denoted by bold contours; parameters were q = 4.8, p = 0.7. (b) The Parzen window topographic map P_w(**x**) for the same q value, and the data represented by the original classification given by Ripley (1996).





Selecting the control parameter

- training result depends on the specified control parameter C
- how to select the value of C?
- depends on the application and training data
- Numerical Recipes recommends the following
 - start with C = 1
 - then try to increase or decrease by powers of 10
 - until you find a broad plateau where the exact value of C doesn't matter much
 - a good SVM solution should classify most patterns correctly,
 - with many $\alpha_i = 0$ and many $\alpha_i = C$, but only a few in between





Multi-class classification

- many practical classification problems involve more than just two classes!
- for example, clustering (see above), object recognition, handwritten digit and character recognition, audio and natural speach recognition, etc.
- but standard SVM handles only exactly two classes
- "hard-coded" in the SVM algorithm
- What to do?



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

Example: multi-class classification



exmulticlassall from SVM-KM toolbox (3 classes)

demo





One versus the rest classification

To get an *M*-class classifier:

- construct a set of M binary classifiers f^1, \ldots, f^M
- each trained to separate one class from the rest
- combine them according to the maximal individual output before applying the sgn-function

$$\arg\max_{j=1,...,M} g^j(x), \quad ext{where} \quad g^j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_i \alpha_i^j k(x,x_i) + b^j \right)$$

▶ the *winner-takes-all* approach





One versus the rest: winner takes all

- the above algorithm looks for $\arg \max g^j(x)$
- ▶ the *M* different classifiers have been trained
- on the same training data
- but with different binary classification problems
- unclear whether the $g^{j}(x)$ are on comparable scales
- ▶ a problem, when several (or none) classifiers claim the pattern
- try to balance/scale the $g^j(x)$
- > all classifiers trained on very unsymmetrical problems
- many more negative than positive patterns
 - ▶ (e.g. digit-7 vs. all handwritten characters and digits)



L



Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

One versus the rest: reject decisions

- The values of g^j(x) can be used for reject decisions in the classification of x
- consider the difference between the two largest g^j(x) as a measure of confidence
- if the measure falls short of a threshold θ , the classifier rejects the pattern
- can often lower the error-rate on other patterns
- can forward un-classified patterns to human experts





Pairwise classification

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

- train a classifier for each possible pair of classes
- for *M* classes, requires M(M-1)/2 binary classifiers
 - ▶ digit-0-vs-digit-1, digit-0-vs-digit-2, ..., digit-8-vs-digit-9
- (many) more classifiers than one-vs-the-rest for M>3
- and probably, longer training times
- but each individual pairwise classifier is (usually) much simpler than each one-vs-the-rest classifier



Department of Informatics



MIN Faculty

Pairwise classification: tradeoff

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

- ▶ requires (M-1)M/2 classifiers vs M one-vs-the-rest
- each individual classifier much simpler
- smaller training sets (e.g. digit-7 vs. digit-8)
 - ▶ for super-linear learning complexity like O(n³), the shorter training times can outweigh the higher number of classifiers
- usually, fewer support vectors
 - training sets are smaller
 - classes have less overlap



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Pairwise classification: tradeoff

Example SVM applications - SVM for multi-class classification

- ▶ requires (M-1)M/2 classifiers vs M one-vs-the-rest
- but fewer support vectors per classifier
- if M is large, will be slower than M one-vs-the-rest
- example: digit-recognition task and the following scenario:
 - after evaluating the first few classifiers,
 - digit 7 and digit 8 seem unlikely ("lost" in the first rounds)
 - rather pointless to run the digit-7-vs-digit-8 classifier
- embed the pairwise classifiers into a directed acyclic graph
 - each classification run corresponds to a graph traversal
 - much faster than running all pairwise classifiers





Error-correcting output coding

- train a number of L binary classifiers f^1, \ldots, f^L
- \blacktriangleright on subproblems involving subsets of the M classes
 - e.g. separate digits 0..4 from 5..9, 0..2 from 3..9, etc.
- If the set of binary classifiers is chosen correctly,
- ► their responses {±1}^L determine the output class of a test pattern
- ▶ e.g., log₂(*M*) classifiers on binary-encoding . . .
- use error-correcting codes to improve robustness against individual mis-classifications
 - note: newest schemes also use the margins of the individual classifiers for decoding





Error-correcting output coding

- example: Hamming (7,4) code
 - linear error-correcting block-code
 - 4 databits, 3 parity bits
 - detects and corrects 1-bit errors
 - generator matrix G and decoding matrix H

more efficient codes

- BCD (Bose, Chaudhuri, Hocquenghem)
 e.g. BCH(15,7,5) corrects 2-bit errors
- RS (Reed-Solomon)
- **۲**
- large block-sizes required for low overhead

 $\mathbf{G}' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{H}' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$





Multi-class objective functions LWK p.213

- re-design the SVM algorithm to directly handle multi-classes
- ▶ $y_i \in \{1, ..., M\}$ the multi-class label of pattern x_i
- ▶ $m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$

$$\text{minimize}_{w_r \in \mathcal{H}, \xi_i^r \in \mathbb{R}^m, b_r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^M ||w_r||^2 + \frac{C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{r \neq y_i} \xi_i^r$$

subject to $\langle w_{y_i}, x_i \rangle + b_{y_i} \ge \langle w_r, x_i \rangle + b_r + 2 - \xi_i^r$, with $\xi_i^r \ge 0$.

- optimization problem has to deal with all SVMs at once
- large number of support vectors
- results comparable with one-vs-the-rest approach





Summary: multi-class classification LWK p.214

- Basic SVM algorithm only supports binary classifications
- Several options for *M*-class classification
- 1 M one-versus-the-rest classifiers
- 2 M(M-1)/2 pairwise binary classifiers
- 3 suitably chosen subset classifiers (at least, $\log_2 M$), plus error-correcting codes for robustness
- 4 redesigned SVM with multi-class objective function
- no approach outperforms all others
- often, one-vs-the-rest produces acceptable results



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

SVN for visual pattern recognition

- one very popular application of SVNs
- can work on raw pixels

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

or handcrafted feature maps

- MNIST handwritten digit recognition
- NORB object recognition
- histogram based classification



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition



MNIST handwritten characters data set

- set of handwritten digits
- based on NIST database 1 and -3 (b&w)
- 20x20 pixel grayscale images (interpolated from 28x28 b&w)
- used as a benchmark for (multi-class) classifiers
- training set with 60.000 patterns
- ▶ test set with 10.000+ patterns
- http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/
- current best classifier achieves 0.38% error




SVM

MNIST data set: example '4'



http://www.cvl.isy.liu.se/ImageDB/images/external_images/MNIST_digits/mnist_train4.jpg





MNIST benchmark

- typical published results on raw MNIST
 - 0% percent errors on training set
 - about 3% errors on the test set
- apparently, training and test set don't match perfectly
- some test patterns quite different from training patterns
- difficult to achieve very good error rates
- several approaches based on extra training patterns





SVM

MNIST results overview

Table 14.1 Test error rates of various learning models on the MNIST dataset. Many results obtained with deslanted images or hand-designed feature extractors were left out. NN: fully connected neural network; ConvNN: convolutional neural network; k-NN: k-nearest neighbors.

Classifier	assifier Error			
Knowledge-free methods				
2-layer NN, 800 hidden units	1.60%	Simard et al. 2003		
3-layer NN, 500+300 units	1.53%	Hinton et al. 2006		
SVM, Gaussian kernel	1.40%	DeCoste and Schölkopf 2002		
EVM, Gaussian kernel	1.03%	Haffner 2002		
DBM + final backpropagation	0.95%	Hinton et al. 2006		
Convolutional networks				
ConvNN LeNet-5	0.80%	Ranzato et al. 2007		
ConvNN LeNet-6	0.70%	Ranzato et al. 2007		
ConvNN LeNet-6 + unsupervised learning	0.60%	Ranzato et al. 2007		
Training set augmented with affine distortions				
2-layer NN, 800 hidden units	1.10%	Simard et al. 2003		
Virtual SVM, degree 9 polynomial kernel	0.80%	DeCoste and Schölkopf 2002		
ConvNN,	0.60%	Simard et al. 2003		
Training set augmented with <i>elastic distortions</i>				
2-layer NN, 800 hidden units	0.70%	Simard et al. 2003		
SVM Gaussian Kernel + online training	0.67%	this book, chapter 13		
Shape context features $+$ elastic k -NN	0.63%	Belongie et al. 2002		
ConvNN	0.40%	Simard et al. 2003		
ConvNN LeNet-6	0.49%	Ranzato et al. 2007		
ConvNN LeNet-6 + unsupervised learning	0.39%	Ranzato et al. 2007		

(ロ > < 🗗 > < 🖹 > < 🖹 > 釣 � ()・





MNIST experiments with SVMs

- G. Loosli, L. Bottou, S. Canu, Training Invariant SVMs Using Selective Sampling, in Large-Scale Kernel Machines, 2007
- ▶ an approach to improve the classification error rate
- by increasing the training set
- with automatically synthesized patterns
- derived from the original training patterns
- ▶ 100 random deformations of each original image
- 6 million training images...





Virtual training set

- synthesize new training patterns
- by applying deformations on each original pattern
 - affine transformations (sub-pixel accuracy) translations, rotations, scaling
 - deformation-fields (elastic transformation)
 - thickening
 - ▶ ...

Goal:

- > a transformation invariant classifier
- more robust to slight variations in the test patterns
- but handling transformations can also increase the test set error
- of course, much higher training effort and time



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

MNIST modified training patterns





< ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > の Q ()



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MNIST effect of transformations



Figure 13.5 Effects of transformations on the performance. This graph is obtained on a validation set of 10.000 points, trained on 5000 points and 10 transformations for each (55,000 training points in total) with an RBF kernel with bandwidth $\gamma = 0.006$. The best configuration is elastic deformation without thickening, for $\eta = 2$ and one-pixel translations, which gives 1.28%. Note that $\eta = 0$ is equivalent to no elastic deformation. The baseline result for the validation set is thus 2.52%.

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle$





LASVM training algorithm

- due to the problem size, training is done using an iterative algorithm, one pattern a time.
- several choices to select the next training pattern:
 - random selection: picks a random unseen training example
 - gradient selection: pick the most poorly classified example (smallest value of y_kf(x_k) among 50 randomly selected unseen training examples)
 - ► active selection: pick the training example that is closest to the decision boundary (smallest value of |f(x_k)|) among 50 randomly selected unseen training examples)
 - autoactive selection: randomly sample at most 100 unseen training examples, but stop as soon as 5 fall inside the margins (will become support vectors). Pick the one closest to the decision boundary.



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MNIST benchmark results



< ロ > < 母 > < 言 > < 言 > ぐのへぐ





SVM

MNIST benchmark results explanation

Figure 13.6 This figure compares the error rates (left), the numbers of support vectors (center), and the training times (right) of different LASVM runs. The first bar of each graph corresponds to training a regular SVM on the original 60,000 MNIST examples (NT: no transformation). The other three bars were obtained using 100 random deformations of each MNIST example, that is, 6 millions points. The second columns reports results for random selection (RS), the third for active selection (AS), and the last for auto-active selection (AAS). The autoactive run gives the best compromise.





SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

MNIST evolution of training and test errors



Figure 13.8 This figure shows the evolution of the training error on the 60,000 original points and the test error during training. The results are shown for class 2 against the others. We observe that training on deformed examples does not affect the performance on orginal examples but increases the performance on unseen data.

イロン 人間 とく 目と く 目 と 今 � �





SVM

MNIST SVM experiment results G. Loosli, L. Bottou, S. Canu, Training Invariant SVMs Using Selective Sampling

Table 13.2Summary of our final experiment.

Number of binary classifiers	10
Number of examples for each binary classifier	8,100,000
Thickening transformation	no
Additional translations	1 pixel
RBF kernel bandwidth (γ)	0.006
Example selection criterion	auto-active
Finishing step	every $600,000$ examples
Full training time	8 days
Test set error	$\mathbf{0.67\%}$





NORB

- Natural images of 3D-objects, 96x96 pixels
- 50 different toys in 5 categories
- 25 objects for training, 25 for testing
- each object captured in stereo from 162 viewpoints (9 elevations, 18 azimuths)
- objects in front of uniform background
- or in front of cluttered background (or missing object)
- http://www.cs.nyu.edu/~ylclab/data/norb-v1.0/



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

NORB normalized-uniform training set



Figure 14.6 The 25 testing objects in the normalized-uniform NORB set. The testing objects are unseen by the trained system.

(ロ > < 母 > < 三 > < 三 > クタぐ



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

NORB jittered-cluttered training set



Figure 14.7 Some of the 291,600 examples from the *jittered-cluttered* training set (left camera images). Each column shows images from one category. A 6-th background category is added

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > の丸で





NORB data set

- recognition basically can only rely on the shape of the object
- all other typical clues eliminated or unusable
- different orientations (viewing angles)
- different lighting conditions
- no color information (grayscale only)
- no object texture
- different backgrounds (cluttered set)
- no hidden regularities





SVM

Error rates: normalized uniform set

Table 14.2 Testing error rates and training/testing timings on the normalized-uniform dataset of different methods. The timing is normalized to hypothetical 1GHz single CPU. The convolutional nets have multiple results with different training passes due to their iterative training procedure.

	\mathbf{SVM}	Convolutional network			Hybrid		
Test error	11.6%	10.4%	6.0%	6.2%	5.9%		
Train time (min×GHz)	480	64	448	3200	50+		
Test time per sample (min×GHz)	0.95		0.03		0.04 +		
Fraction of SV	28%				28%		
Parameters	$\sigma = 2000$	00 Step size 0 $2 \times 10^{-5} - 2 \times 10^{-7}$		dim=80			
	C=40			$\times 10^{-7}$	$\sigma{=}5$ C=40		

- five binary SVMs, one for each class
- trained on the raw pixel images ($d = 96 \cdot 96 \cdot 2 = 18432$)
- convolutional network uses handcrafted feature map
- hybrid system trains SVMs on those features



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Feature functions for the NORB set



Figure 14.5 The architecture of the convolutional net used for the NORB experiments. The input is an image pair, the system extracts 8 feature maps of size 92×92 , 8 maps of 23×23 , 24 maps of 18×18 , 24 maps of 6×6 , and a 100-dimensional feature vector. The feature vector is then transformed into a 5-dimensional vector in the last layer to compute the distance with target vectors.



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Some feature functions for the NORB set



Figure 14.8 The learned convolution kernels of the C3 layer. The columns correspond to the 24 feature maps output by C3, and the rows correspond to the 8 feature maps output by the S2 layer. Each feature map draws from 2 monocular maps and 2 binocular maps of S2. Ninety-six convolution kernels are used in total.





Error rates: jittered cluttered set

Table 14.3 Testing error rates and training/testing timings on the *jittered-cluttered* dataset of different methods. The timing is normalized to hypothetical 1GHz single CPU. The convolutional nets have multiple results with different training passes due to their iterative training procedure.

	SVM	Convolutional network		Hybrid		
Test error	43.3%	16.38%	7.5%	7.2%	5.9	1%
Train time (min×GHz)	10,944	420 2100 5880		5880	330 +	
Test time per sample (min×GHz)	2.2	0.04		0.06+		
Fraction of SV	5%				2%	
Parameters	$\sigma = 10^4$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Step size} \\ 2\times10^{-5} - 1\times10^{-6} \end{array}$		dim=	=100	
	C=40			$\sigma {=} 5$	C=1	

- again, SVM trained on raw pixels
- convolutional network uses handcrafted feature maps
- hybrid system trains SVM on those feature maps



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Error rates: SVM training and setup

- SVM with 43% error-rate?
- six one-vs-the-rest binary SVMs (one per catogory)
- training samples are raw 108×108 pixel images
 - again, use a virtual training set
 - ±3 pixel translations
 - scaling from 80% to 110%
 - rotations ±5°
 - \blacktriangleright changed brightness ± 20 and contrast
 - a total of 291.600 images
- overall, a 23 328-dimensional input vector
- \blacktriangleright only the Gaussian width σ as a free parameter





SVM

Poor performance of SVM on raw pixels?

- Gaussian kernel basically computers matching score (based on Euclidean distance) between training templates and the test pattern
- very sensitive to variations in registration, pose, illumination
- most of the pixels in NORB are background clutter
- hence, template matching dominated by background irregularities
- a general weakness of standard kernel methods: their inability to select relevant input features
- feature maps must be hand-crafted by experts





SVM

Example: Histogram correlation kernel A. Barla et.al., *Image Kernels*, LNCS 2388, 83–96

- calculate image histograms
- use histogram intersection as the feature
- instead of in addition to raw pixels
- applied to indoor/outdoor image classification
- e.g. for improved color image printing
- ▶ test set with 300 indoor and outdoor test images each
- http://www.benchathlon.net/img/todo/





Histogram intersection kernel

- ▶ histograms A and B of images A_{im} and B_{im} with N pixels
- each histogram has *m* bins, A_i (i = 1, ..., m)
- histogram intersection:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{int}}(A,B) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min\{A_i, B_i\}$$

note: this can be written as a kernel

► represent A as an
$$N \times m$$
 vector \mathcal{A} defined as $\mathcal{A} :=$
 $\overbrace{(1,1,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots,0,0}^{A_1}, \overbrace{(1,1,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0}^{A_2}, \ldots, \overbrace{(1,1,\ldots,1,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,0,\ldots,0,0,\ldots,0,0,\ldots,0,0,\ldots,0,0,\ldots,0,0,\ldots,0)$
► Then $K_{int}(A,B) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}$





SVM

Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Histogram kernel: recognition results

kernel	r.r.(%)
histogram intersection	93.1
linear	88.8
2-nd deg polynomial	89.2
3-rd deg polynomial	89.4
4-rd deg polynomial	88.1
Gaussian ($\sigma = 0.1$)	89.1
Gaussian ($\sigma = 0.3$)	86.5
Gaussian ($\sigma = 0.5$)	87.8

- ▶ 600 training images, 123 indoor and 260 outdoor test images
- \blacktriangleright histogram used 15 \times 15 \times 15 bins in HSV colorspace
- other kernels trained on pixel data



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for visual pattern recognition

Summary: visual pattern recognition

- SVM can be trained on and applied to raw pixel data
- use virtual training set for better generalization
- but no performance guarantees
- good results on MNIST
- but total breakdown on NORB
- must use appropriate feature maps
- or hybrid architectures





SVM

Text classification LSKM p0.41

- another high dimensional problem...
- e.g. Reuters RCV1 text corpus
 - ▶ 810.000 news stories from 1996/1997
 - partitioned and indexed in 135 categories
 - http://trec.nist.gov/data/reuters/reuters.html
- represent word frequencies, e.g. bag of words
- or represent substring correlations
- train a SVM on the corpus
- classify the given input texts





Bag of words representation LWK 13.2

Sparse vector kernel

- map the given text into a sparse vector
- where each component corresponds to a word
- and component is set to one when the word occurs
- dot products between such vectors are fast
- but ignores the ordering of the words
- no vicinity information (e.g. words in one sentence)
- only detects exact matches (e.g. mismatch on mathces)





String kernel

- efficient kernel that computes the dot product
- ▶ in the feature space spanned by *all* substrings of documents
- computional complexity is linear in the document length and the length of the substring
- allows to classify texts on similarity of substrings
- ▶ the more substrings match, the higher the output value
- use for text classification, DNA sequence analysis, ...



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



String kernel: definitions

- ▶ a finite alphabet Σ , so Σ^n the set of all string of length n
- $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^n$ the set of all finite strings
- ▶ length of a string $s \in \Sigma^*$ is |s|
- string elements are $s(1) \dots s(|s|)$
- s t is the string concatenation of s and t

subsequences *u* of strings:

▶ index sequence $i := (i_1, ..., i_{|u|})$ with $1 \le i_1 < dots < i_{|u|} \le |s|$

• define
$$u := s(i) := s(i1) \dots s(i_{|u|})$$

► $I(i) := i_{|u|} - i_1 + 1$ the length of the subsequence in s



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



String kernel: feature space

- feature space $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{R}^{(\Sigma^n)}$ built from strings of length n
- one dimension (coordinate) for each element of Σ^n
- feature map

$$[\Phi_n(s)]_u := \sum_{i:s(i)=u} \lambda^{I(i)}$$

- with decay parameter λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$
- ► the larger the length of the subsequence in s, the smaller its contribution to [Φ_n(s)]_u.
- sum over all subsequences of s which equal u



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



String kernel: the actual kernel

- \blacktriangleright consider the dimension of ${\cal H}$ for the string asd
- $[\Phi_n(Nasdaq)]_{asd} = \lambda^3$ (one exact match of length 3)
- ► $[\Phi_n(\text{lass das})]_{\text{asd}} = 2\lambda^5$ (two matches of length 5: $\squareas \square d \square a \square s \square d \square u$
- kernel corresponding to the map $\Phi(n)$ is:

$$k_n(s,t) = \sum_{u \in \Sigma^n} [\Phi_n(s)]_u [\Phi_n(t)]_u = \sum_{u \in \Sigma^n} \sum_{(i,j):s(i)=t(j)=u} \lambda^{l(i)} \lambda^{l(j)}$$

• normalize: use $k(s,t)/\sqrt{k(s,s)k(t,t)}$





DNA sequence classification LWK table 13.2 p.417

- DNA sequence contains *coding sequences* which encode proteins, but also untranslated sequences
- ▶ find the start points of proteins (TIS: translation initiation sites)
- out of $\{A, C, G, T\}$, typically an ATG triplet
- certain local correlations are typical
- ▶ match_{p+j}(x, x') is 1 for matching nucleotides at position p + j, 0 otherwise
- ► construct kernel that rewards nearby matches $\min_{p}(x, x') = \left(\sum_{j=-l}^{+l} \nu_{j} \operatorname{match}_{p+j}(x, x')\right)^{d_{1}}$ $k(x, x') = \left(\sum_{p=1}^{l} \min_{p}(x, x')\right)^{d_{2}}$





WK kernel with shifts



Figure 4.2 Given two sequences \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 of equal length, the WD kernel with shifts consists of a weighted sum to which each match in the sequences makes a contribution $\gamma_{k,p}$ depending on its length k and relative position p, where long matches at the same position contribute most significantly. The γ 's can be computed from the β 's and δ 's in (4.4). The spectrum kernel is based on a similar idea, but it only considers substrings of a fixed length and the contributions are independent of the relative positions of the matches to each other.



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation



SVM-based regression

- use SVMs for function approximation?
- especially, for high-dimensional functions?

basic idea is very similar to classification:

- estimate linear functions $f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle + b$
- ▶ based on $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathrm{I\!R}$
- ▶ use a ||w||² regularizer ("maximum margin")
- use optimization algorithm similar to SVM training
- use feature-maps to generalize to the non-linear case



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation



ϵ-insensitive loss function Vapnik 1995

- need a suitable cost-function
- define the following *\epsilon*-insensitive loss function:

$$|y - f(x)|_{\epsilon} = \max\{0, |y - f(x)| - \epsilon\}$$

- threshold $\epsilon \ge 0$ is chosen a-priori
- \blacktriangleright small ϵ implies high approximation accuracy
- no penalty, when error below some threshold
- similar to classification loss-function: no penalty for correctly-classified training patterns


MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

The ϵ -tube around the ϵ -insensitive loss function



- geometrical interpretation: allow a tube
- \blacktriangleright of width ϵ around the given function values

< ロ > < 母 > < 当 > < ヨ > のへぐ





Goal of the SVR learning

Given:

- \blacktriangleright a dot product space ${\cal H}$
- ▶ (mapped) input patterns $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}$

Goal:

- ▶ find a function f with a small risk (or test error), $R[f] = \int c(f, x, y) dP(x, y)$
- ▶ where *P* is the probability measure for the observations
- ▶ and c is a loss function, e.g. $c(f, x, y) = (f(x) y)^2$
- loss function can be chosen depending on the application





Regularized risk functional

- ▶ find a function f with a small risk (or test error), $R[f] = \int c(f, x, y) dP(x, y)$
- where P is the probability measure for the observations
- ▶ and c is a loss function, e.g. $c(f, x, y) = (f(x) y)^2$
- cannot minimize c directly, because P is not known
- ▶ Instead, minimize the regularized risk functional

$$rac{1}{2}||w||^2+C\cdot R_{ ext{emp}}^\epsilon, \qquad ext{where}\quad R_{ ext{emp}}^\epsilon:=rac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m|y_i-f(x_i)|_\epsilon$$

R^ε_{emp} measures the ε-insensitive training error
 C controls the trade-off between margin and training error





Main idea

minimize the regularized risk functional

$$\frac{1}{2}||w||^2 + C \cdot R_{\rm emp}^{\epsilon}$$

• where $R_{\text{emp}}^{\epsilon} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |y_i - f(x_i)|_{\epsilon}$ measures the training error

constant C determines the trade-off

To obtain a small risk

- control both the training error (R_{emp}^{ϵ})
- and the model complixity $(||w||^2)$
- ▶ in short, "explain the data with a simple model"





$\epsilon\text{-}\mathsf{SVR}$ objective function

again, rewrite as (soft-margin) optimization problem:

$$\operatorname{minimize}_{w_r \in \mathcal{H}, \xi^{(*)} \in \mathbb{R}^m, b \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + \frac{C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi^*)$$

subject to

$$(\langle x, x_i \rangle + b) - y_i \le \epsilon + \xi_i y_i - (\langle w, x_i \rangle + b) \le \epsilon + \xi_i^* \xi_i^{(*)} \ge 0$$

• where (*) means both the variables with and without asterisks.



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



ϵ -SVR dual problem

▶ introduce two sets of Lagrange multipliers α_i^(*) and η_i^(*)
 ▶ and minimize

$$L := \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + \frac{C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi^*) - \sum_{i=1}^m (\eta_i \xi_i + \eta_i^* \xi_i^*) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\epsilon + \xi_i + y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^* (\epsilon + \xi_i^* + y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b)$$

• subject to
$$\alpha_i^{(*)}, \eta_i^{(*)} \ge 0.$$





 ${\displaystyle {\rm SV}}$ expansion For the whole details, see LWK 9.2 (p. 254ff)

solution of the optimization problem results in the SV expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i) \langle x_i, x \rangle + b$$

- w can be written as a linear combination
- ▶ of a subset of the training patterns *x_i*
- algorithm can be desribed in terms of dot products between the data
- when evaluating f(x), we need not to compute w explicitly



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation

Example: function approximation



- exreg1dls from SVM-KM toolbox (gaussian basis function)
- only a few support-vectors



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Example: function approximation



- exreg1dls from SVM-KM toolbox (4th-order polynom)
- fails to approximate the target function sin(exp(x))

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle$



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



Example SVM applications - SVM for function approximation

Example: function approximation



exreg1dls from SVM-KM toolbox (ht radial basis function)

very many support-vectors, but good approximation





SVM complexity analysis

what is the complexity of SVM classification?

- n examples (training patterns)
- ▶ soft-margin control parameter C
- \blacktriangleright S support vectors, R free support vectors

two intuitive bounds:

- O(nS) when few support vectors (C small)
- $O(R^3)$ if many support vectors (C large)
- ▶ so, both quadratic and cubic terms





Number of support vectors

assume an oracle which tells us

- the patterns that are not support vectors ($\alpha_i = 0$)
- and the bounded support vectors ($\alpha_i = C$)
- remaining R free support vectors are determined by R linear equations (representing the derivatives of the object function)
- solving this system takes $O(R^3)$ operations





Verifying a solution

- n examples
- S support vectors
- \blacktriangleright verification that vector α is a solution
- compute the gradient g of the dual
- and check the optimality conditions
- requires $O(n \cdot S)$ operations





SVM

SVM training time: example LSKM: SVM training with primal and dual represenations



Figure 2.8 Time comparison between LIBSVM and Newton optimization. Here n_{sv} has been computed from LIBSVM (note that the solutions are not exactly the same). For this plot, $h = 2^{-5}$.

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle$



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Computation of Kernel values?

- computing kernels can be expensive,
 e.g. consider images with thousands of pixels
 e.g. consider documents with thousands of words
- computing the full kernel matrix is wasteful
- gradient computation only depend on K_{ij} which invole support vectors (otherwise multiplied by zero)
- the kernel matrix does not fit in memory
- use special caching, or re-compute on-the-fly







Efficient algorithms? See LSKM p.12ff

- use traditional QP solver: works, but
 - kernel-matrix is seldom sparse (only the SVs are)
 - kernel-matrix rarely fits in memory
 - high-accuracy solution not required
- use "chunking": guess the support-vectors
 - select a "working set" and solve
 - usually works well, due to generalization
 - misclassified patterns are SV candidates
- use efficient direction search to improve the α_i
 - to speed up the training





Decomposition method LSKM p. 17



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ろくや





SMO with maximum violating pair $_{\rm LSKM\ p.\ 19}$

The sequential minimal optimization (SMO) algorithm 1.3 selects working sets using the maximum violating pair scheme. Working set selection schemes are discussed more thoroughly in section 1.7.2. Each subproblem is solved by performing a search along a direction **u** containing only two nonzero coefficients: $u_i = y_i$ and $u_j = -y_j$. The algorithm is otherwise similar to algorithm 1.2. Implementation issues are discussed more thoroughly in section 1.7.

Algorithm 1.3 SMO with maximum violating pair working set selection		
1: 2:	$ \begin{aligned} \forall k \in \{1 \dots n\} & \alpha_k \leftarrow 0 \\ \forall k \in \{1 \dots n\} & g_k \leftarrow 1 \end{aligned} $	% Initial coefficients % Initial gradient
3:	loop	
4:	$i \leftarrow \arg \max_i y_i g_i$ subject to $y_i \alpha_i < B_i$	
5:	$j \leftarrow \arg\min_i y_j g_j$ subject to $A_j < y_j \alpha_j$	% Maximal violating pair
6:		% Optimality criterion (1.11)
7:	$\lambda \leftarrow \min\left\{ B_i - y_i \alpha_i, \ y_j \alpha_j - A_j, \ \frac{y_i g_i - y_j g_j}{K_{ii} + K_{jj} - 2K} \right\}$	$\left. \frac{1}{1} \right\}$ % Direction search
8:	$\forall k \in \{1 \dots n\} g_k \leftarrow g_k - \lambda y_k K_{ik} + \lambda y_k K_{jk}$	% Update gradient
9:	$\alpha_i \leftarrow \alpha_i + y_i \lambda$ $\alpha_j \leftarrow \alpha_j - y_j \lambda$	% Update coefficients
10:	end loop	

イロン 人間 アイヨン 人口 アイロン





SVM

SVM primal training LSKM p. 41

Algorithm 2.1 SVM primal training by Newton optimization

Function: $\beta = \text{PRIMALSVM}(K, Y, \lambda)$ $n \leftarrow \text{length}(\mathbf{Y})$ % Number of training points if n > 1000 then $n_2 \leftarrow n/2$ % Train first on a subset to estimate the decision boundary $\boldsymbol{\beta} \leftarrow \text{PRIMALSVM}(K_{1..n_2,1..n_2},Y_{1..n_2},\lambda)]$ $sv \leftarrow nonzero components of \beta$ else $\mathsf{sv} \leftarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}.$ end if repeat $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{sv} \leftarrow (K_{sv} + \lambda I_{n_{sv}})^{-1} Y_{sv}$ Other components of $\beta \leftarrow 0$ $sv \leftarrow indices i \text{ such that } y_i[K\beta]_i < 1$ until sv has not changed





Sparse vector and matrix representation LSKM chapter 3, p. 51ff

- basic SVM training and classification algorithms:
 - loops over weighted scalar products $\alpha_i \langle x_i, x \rangle$
 - for all training patterns x_i
- but after training, most weights $\alpha_i = 0$
- only the support vectors have $\alpha_{i,SV} \neq 0$
- study sparse representations of vectors and matrices
- to reduce the storage requirements
- ► to improve performance





SVM

Sparse matrix by vector multiplication

Algorithm 3.1 Sparse matrix-vector multiplication

- vector v_1 stored as sorted table of (index,value) pairs
- complexity of DOT is O(|v1|)
- memory accesses more expensive than the multiply-add





Sparse sparse dot product

```
Algorithm 3.2 Sparse-sparse dot product
```

```
SDOT(v1, v2)
   dot = 0, j1 = 0, j2 = 0;
    while (j1 < |v1| and j2 < |v2|) do
       idx1 = v1[j1].idx;
       idx2 = v2[j2].idx;
       SDOT_ACCESS++;
       if (idx1 == idx2) then
           dot += v1[j1].val * v2[j2].val;
           j1++, j2++;
           SDOT_MULADD++;
       else if (j1 > j2) then
           i2++;
       else
          j1++;
return dot
```



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Sparse matrix vector product



ヘロ アメロ アメ ロ アメロ ア





Sparse matrix vector product

Figure 3.1 Visual description of the matrix-vector multiplication. The matrix has are six rows corresponding to vectors $\{X1,...,X6\}$ and six columns corresponding to features $\{F1,...,F6\}$. Nonzero elements have value 1 and are represented by a circle. The result Y of the multiplication appears in the right column, where each element is a dot product that counts the number of circles shared by X and Xi. Both the D0T and SD0T dot products need to access every nonzero feature in each vector, even when this feature is not used by the other vector and when the product of the two features is zero. The transpose approach only considers columns F1 and F4: the six dot products f1 and F4. The total number of operations is only proportional to the number of (full) circles in these columns. Compare this with the traditional dot product algorithm that has to access every single circle. Note that while SD0T will not apply multiply-add to white circles, an access operation is still needed.





MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Transpose matrix vector product

Algorithm 3.3 Transpose matrix-vector multiplication

Algorithm 3.4 Dense vector to index-value table

```
 \begin{array}{c} VEC2LIST(y) \\ y' = 0; \\ pos = 0; \\ for (i=0; iAT :; i++) do \\ VEC2LIST.ACCESS++; \\ if (yi] = 0 then \\ y'[pos].tat = 1; \\ y'[pos].val = y[i]; \\ post. \\ post. \\ return y' \end{array}
```

<ロ > < 母 > < 三 > < 三 > のへで







Sparse list merging

Algorithm 3.5 Sparse list-merging algorithm

```
TMRG(v1, v2, w)
    j1 = 0, j2 = 0, j = 0;
    v' = 0:
    while (j1 < |v1| and j2 < |v2|) do
       idx1 = v1[j1].idx;
       idx2 = v2[j2].idx;
       TMRG_ACCESS++:
       if (idx1 == idx2) then
           y'[j].val = v1[j1].val + w * v2[j2].val;
           y'[j].idx = idx1;
           j++, j1++, j2++;
           TMRG_MULADD++:
       else if (j1 > j2) then
           y'[j].val = w * v2[j2].val;
           y'[j].idx = idx2;
           j++, j2++;
           TMRG_MULADD++:
       else
           v'[i].val = v1[i1].val:
           y'[j].idx = idx1;
           j++, j1++;
           TMRG_COPY++:
return y'
```




MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Training time on large data set ${\rm LSKM}\ {\rm p0.41}$



Figure 3.3 Evolution of learning time with the training set size on the VoiceTone data. TGAGH indicates LLAMA with the transpose cache algorithm. The matrix multiplication in LLAMA is performed using the SDOT, TADD, or TMRG algorithms. FSEL indicates the optional feature selection algorithm.

$\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle$





VC dimension Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension

- ▶ a measure of the capacity
- of a statistical classification algorithm
- defined as the cardinality of the largest set of points
- that the algorithm can shatter
- Informally, the capacity of a classification model is related to how complicated it can be.
- higher capacity: can handle complicated situations,
- but might overfit



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

VC dimension: example LWK p.20



Figure 1.4 A simple VC dimension example. There are $2^3 = 8$ ways of assigning 3 points to two classes. For the displayed points in \mathbb{R}^2 , all 8 possibilities can be realized using separating hyperplanes, in other words, the function class can shatter 3 points. This would not work if we were given 4 points, no matter how we placed them. Therefore, the VC dimension of the class of separating hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^2 is 3.





VC dimension vs. test errors LWK p.20



Figure 5.5 Average VC dimension (solid), and total number of test errors, of ten twoclass-classifiers (dotted) with polynomial degrees 2 through 7, trained on the USPS set of handwritten digits. The baseline 174 on the error scale, corresponds to the total number of test errors of the ten *best* binary classifiers, chosen from degrees 2 through 7. The graph shows that for this problem, which can essentially be solved with zero training error for all degrees greater than 1, the VC dimension allows us to predict that degree 4 yields the best overall performance of the two-class-classifier on the test set (from [470, 467]).



MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

VC dimension: Gaussian kernels



- ▶ SVM with Gaussian kernel can classify every input function
- ▶ if the Gaussian kernels are "narrow" enough
- Gaussian-kernel SVM has infinite VC dimension





Summary

- Support Vector Machine
 - maximum-margin linear classifier
 - concept of support vectors
 - soft-margin classifier
 - feature-maps and kernels to handle non-linearity
 - training via Quadratic Programming algorithms
- (multi-class) classification and clustering
- pattern and object recognition
- regression and function approximation
- algorithms and complexity estimates
- still an active research topic



Summary

MIN Faculty Department of Informatics



SVM

Thanks for your attention!



 bug-reports and feedback: hendrich@informatik.uni-hamburg.de zhang@informatik.uni-hamburg.de





5VM

A bit of fun: from Learning with Kernels LWK p.363...the whole book is like this :-)

Theorem 12.4 (Algorithmic Stability of Risk Minimizers) The algorithm minimizing the regularized risk functional R_{reg}

$$R_{\text{reg}}[f] := R_{\text{emp}}[f] + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||f||^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m c(x_i, y_i, f(x_i)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||f||^2$$
(12.12)

has stability $\beta = \frac{2C^2\kappa^2}{m\lambda}$, where κ is a bound on $||k(x, \cdot)|| = \sqrt{k(x, x)}$, c is a convex loss function, $|| \cdot ||$ is the RKHS norm induced by k, and C is a bound on the Lipschitz constant of the loss function c(x, y, f(x)), viewed as a function of f(x).

Since the proof is somewhat technical we relegate it to Section 12.1.4. Let us now discuss the implications of the theorem.

We can see that the stability of the algorithm depends on the regularization constant via $\frac{1}{\lambda m}$, hence we may be able to afford to choose weaker regularization if the sample size increases. For many estimators, such as Support Vector Machines, we use a constant value of $C = \frac{1}{\lambda m}$. In the context of algorithmic stability this means that we effectively use algorithms with the same stability, regardless of the sample size.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 少く(?)



References



Software: libsvm

- C.-C. Chang & C.-J. Lin, *libsvm* http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/ Bindings to C/C++, Java, ...
- Alain Rakotomamonjy, Stephane Canu, SVM and Kernel Methods Matlab Toolbox, http://asi.insarouen.fr/enseignants/~arakotom/toolbox/index.html
- W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes – The Art of Scientific Computing, Cambridge University Press, 2007 (all algorithms on CD-ROM)
- ▶ several other software packages (Matlab, C/C++, ...)





Example datasets

- the libsvm page links to several training datasets http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/
- MNIST handwritten digits http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/
- NORB object recognition datasets http://www.cs.nyu.edu/~ylclab/data/norb-v1.0/